## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s) HIROSE, YUKITOSHI	
	10/724,164		
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arpan P. Savla	2185	

	Arpan P. Savla	2185		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 16 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);		
appeal; and/or			10 100000 101	
(d)   ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a viscous NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. A For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the provided status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
13. Other:				

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2185

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments add new claims 39-43, thus raising new issues that would require further search and consideration. Also, the proposed amendments change the scope of independent claims 1, 2, and 5 by removing the "intended use" limitations and replacing them with positive recitations, thus raising new issues that would require further search and/or consideration.